This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

# Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273



CHROMATOGRAPHY

LIQUID

# High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic Determination of Virginiamycin in Premixes and Feeds

Koichi Saito<sup>a</sup>; Masakazu Horie<sup>a</sup>; Youji Hoshino<sup>a</sup>; Norihide Nose<sup>a</sup>; Hiroyuki Nakazawa<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup> Saitama Institute of Public Health 639-1, Kamiokubo Urawa-shi, Saitama, Japan <sup>b</sup> The National Institute of Public Health 4-6-1 Shirokanedai Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan

**To cite this Article** Saito, Koichi , Horie, Masakazu , Hoshino, Youji , Nose, Norihide and Nakazawa, Hiroyuki(1989) 'High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic Determination of Virginiamycin in Premixes and Feeds', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 12: 3, 373 — 381

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01483918908051741 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918908051741

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHIC DETERMINATION OF VIRGINIAMYCIN IN PREMIXES AND FEEDS

## KOICHI SAITO<sup>1</sup>, MASAKAZU HORIE<sup>1</sup>, YOUJI HOSHINO<sup>1</sup>, NORIHIDE NOSE<sup>1</sup>, AND HIROYUKI NAKAZAWA<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Saitama Institute of Public Health

<sup>2</sup>The National Institute of Public Health 4-6-1 Shirokanedai Minato-ku, Tokyo 108, Japan

#### ABSTRACT

A simple and practical method for the determination of virginiamycin (VGM) in premixes and feeds is described. VGM in premixes and feeds is extracted with methanol, and subsequently analysed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ultraviolet detector set a wavelength at 235 nm. The column is Kaseisorb LC ODS-300-5 and the eluent is acetonitrile-water (35:65, v/v). The VGM concentration in various samples is calculated from the peak hight of virginiamycin-M<sub>1</sub> (M<sub>1</sub>), of main component of VGM. Amounts of 0.2-20.0  $\mu$ g/ml of VGM can be determined. The detection limit for VGM is 2.0 ng. The average recoveries were 93.3 % and 86.6 % for VGM added to feeds at the level of  $20 \mu$ g/g and  $10 \mu$ g/g, respectively.

Copyright © 1989 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

#### INTRODUCTION

VGM is one of the streptogramin family of antibiotics. VGM is a mixture of two main active components consists of factor M ( $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ) and S ( $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$ , and  $S_5$ ), and generally used as a feed additive for poultry.

Based on the previous findings(1) that biological activity of the crude VGM was almost attributable to  $M_1$  which is a main component of VGM, the measurement of  $M_1$  might make it possible to develop the simple and rapid analysis of VGM in premixes and feeds. The rapid and simple method for the routine analysis of VGM is required in respect with the quality assessment of premixes and feeds and health concern.

For the determination of VGM, several methods such as turbidimetrically(2) and biologically(3–5) have been proposed. However, VGM is usually determined by a biological method based on the measurement of total antibacterial activity. These biological methods were not suitable for routine analysis because of the interferents from other antibiotics or active degradation products and time consuming.

This paper proposes an accurate HPLC method for the determination of VGM in premixes and feeds by measuring  $M_1$ .

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Reagents and apparatus

VGM was supplied from Nihon Zenyaku Kogyo (Tokyo, Japan). A stock solution of VGM was prepared by dissolving VGM in methanol to give a concentration of 1000  $\mu$ g/ml( reference standard had a potency of 190 % according to supplier). A series of working standard VGM solution were prepared by diluting the stock solutions



FIGURE 1. Structures of virginiamycin M<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>.

with methanol to appropriate concentration. Commercial premix products as STAFAC 20 and STAFAC 500 were supplied from Nihon Zenyaku Kogyo. M<sub>1</sub> was prepared from VGM by the method reported previously(1). Acetonitrile and methanol were of HPLC grade (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Japan). All other chemicals were of reagent grade and used without further purification. Water used was distilled and deionized.

The HPLC was carried out using a Shimadzu LC-6A system (Shimadzu Seisakusho, Japan) equipped with a SPD-6A spectrophotometer set a wavelength at 235 nm. The column used was kaseisorb LC ODS-300-5 reversed phase column(4.6 mm I.D. × 250mm, Tokyo Kasei Kogyo, Japan). The HPLC mobile phase was a mixture of acetonitrile and water (35:65, v/v). The mobile phase was run isocratically at ambient temperature at a flow rate of 0.7 ml/min.

#### Calibration graph

A  $20\,\mu$  of working standard VGM solution in the range of 0.2 to  $20.0\,\mu$  g/ml was injected into the HPLC. A calibration graph was constructed by plotting the peak height of M<sub>1</sub> versus the concentration of VGM.

#### Sample preparation and their determination

#### (a) Determination of VGM in premixes

About one hundred mg of premix sample was accurately weighed into a 100 ml volumetric flask. A 50 ml of methanol was added and the mixture was extracted for 5 min in an ultra sonic bath. The contents of the volumetric flask were then filtered. The filtrate was diluted with methanol so as to obtain the VGM concentration containing ca. 10  $\mu$ g/ml. An aliquot of the final solution was injected directly into the HPLC as in described procedure. The VGM concentration in samples was determined by measuring of the peak height of M<sub>1</sub>.

#### (b) Determination of VGM in feed

About 5 g of the feed sample was weighed into a 200 ml glass-stopped flask, and 70 ml of methanol was added. The mixture was shaken mechanically for 10 min. The contents of the flask were then filtered, and the residue was rinsed with an adequate volume of methanol. These filtrate were combined, and then made the volume to 100 ml with methanol. A 5 ml of aliquot was evaporated to dryness, and dissolve in 1 ml of the mobile phase. The solution was filtered with millipore filter ( $0.5 \mu$ m), if necessary.

(C) Microbiological assay of premixes

The antibacterial activity of VGM in premixes was determined by a paper disk method with Micrococcus luteus ATCC 9341 as a test organism. The assay procedure was carried out according to the method of Ragheb(4).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Conditions for HPLC

As shown in Figure 2, the maximum absorption of pure M<sub>1</sub> was found to occur at 223 nm. However, when feed was subjected to the HPLC set at 223 nm, the base line of the chromatogram was drifted,



FIGURE 2. Ultra violet absorption spectrum of M, in mobile phase.

SAITO ET AL.

and the measurement of  $M_1$  peak was interfered with unknown peaks derived from the sample matrix. On the other hand, when wavelength 235 nm was used, the  $M_1$  peak was well defined with respect to the baseline. Therefore, in practice 235 nm was chosen.

In order to find out which column was most suitable in the chromatographic system, several columns such as Kaseisorb LC ODS-300-5, Nucleosil 5 C<sub>18</sub> (Nagel, FRG ), Partisil 5 ODS-3 (Whatman, USA ), Lichrosorb RP-18 (Merck, FRG ),  $\mu$ -Bondapack 18 (Waters, USA ), and Zorbax ODS (Duppon, USA ) were studied with elution solvent as described below. The good peak shape and high resoluton of M<sub>1</sub> was obtained with Kaseisorb LC ODS-300-5 within the studied columns.

Increasing the concentration of acetonitrile in water reduced the capacity factor of  $M_1$ . No potentially interfering peaks were observed in the chromatograms when  $M_1$  was eluted with 35 % acetonitrile in water. The chromatograms of  $M_1$  derived from premixes and feed are given in Figure 3. A simple extraction with methanol was sufficient to separate  $M_1$  from the insoluble premix or feed matrix.

#### Calibration graph

The relationship between the peak height of M<sub>1</sub> in VGM standard solution injected was rectiliniear from 0.2 to 20.0  $\mu$ g/mJ of VGM. The wide range sample concentration required the several signal attenuations during the testing. The reproducibility of the method was examined by analysing samples whose concentrations of VGM were 0.2, 2.0, and 20.0 $\mu$ g/ml (N=5). The corresponding coefficients of variation were 7.7, 2.2, and 0.5%, respectively.

#### Interferences

The interference of other antibiotics used for feed additives on the HPLC chromatogram was studied using tetracycline,



FIGURE 3. Liquid chromatograms of premixes and feed. A : STAFAC 500, B : STAFAC 20, C : poultry feed ; dotted line : sample added VGM to  $20 \mu$  g/g ; conditions as described in the text.

chlortetracycline, oxytetracycline, erythromycin, macarbomicin, oleandmycin, spiramycin, tylosin, monensin, salinomycin, lasalocid, colistin, chroramphenicol, thiamphenicol, and hicozamycin. No interference was observed on the detection of M<sub>1</sub> peak.

#### Recovery Study and Application of Commercial Premixes

VGM spiked with  $10 \mu$ g/ml and  $20 \mu$ g/ml were analysed using the the previously mentioned procedure. The average recoveries were 86.6 % and 93.3 %, with high coefficient of variation of 3.7 % and 7.8 %, respectively.

In order to compare the proposed method with bioassay for the determination of VGM in premixes, sample solutions obtained from treating premixes such as STAFAC 20 and STAFAC 500 were applied for two method. As demonstrated in Table 1, the results obtained from the proposed method were in fair agreement with those of bioassay.

Virginiamycin	Contents	in	Commercial	Premixes	by	HPLC	or	Bioassay
Method								

1

TARIF

Method	STAFAC 500	STAFAC 20
	(µg/	mg)
HPLC	474.1	20.2
Rioassav	466 9	19.2

#### CONCLUSION

In this study, VGM can be determined by measurement of M, using HPLC after sample extraction with methanol without a cleanup step. The method is simple, which permits an analysis to be completed within 30 min. It has successfully been applied to the determination of VGM in premixes and feeds. Consequently, these results indicate that the quantitative analysis of VGM can be evaluated in terms of quality assessment of VGM-fortified feeds and premixes. Furthermore, the authors are currently attempting to extend the application of HPLC determination method to residual analysis of VGM in livestock products.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express our thanks to Nihon Zenyaku Kogyo for supplying VGM standard and premixes, and to Dr. A. Tanaka for his help in preparing the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Saito, K., Horie, M., Hoshino, Y., Nose, N., Shida, Y., Nakazawa, H., Fujita, M., Isolation of Virginiamycin-M<sub>1</sub> by Droplet Counter-Current Chromatography, J. Chromatogr., "in press".
- Brennecke, D. M., Reed, S. J., Barkate, J. A., Turbidimetric Assay for Virginiamycin in Feeds and Premixes, J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., <u>64</u>, 319, 1981.
- Boon, B., Dewart, R., Methods for Identification and Assay of Virginiamycin in Animal Feeds, Analyst, <u>99</u>, 19, 1974.
- Ragheb, H. S., Blak, L. J., Waisner, D. L., Determination of Virginiamycin in Feeds, J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., <u>62</u>, 671, 1979.
- Katz, S. E., Katz, J. M., Miller, J. A., Wang, R., Shapiro, R., Pad-Plate Diffusion Assay for Virginiamycin in Mash-Type Feeds, J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., <u>67</u>, 569, 1984.

381